

# Power Series in Synthetic Differential Geometry

Davorin Lešnik

Department of Mathematics  
Darmstadt University of Technology, Germany  
[lesnik@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de](mailto:lesnik@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de)

Warszawa, July 26th, 2013

# Synthetic differential geometry

SDG is a setting, where analytical notions (such as the derivative) are given algebraically/axiomatically, rather than via standard limit arguments.

- Achieved by allowing (nontrivial) **infinitesimals**. In particular  $D := \{x \in \mathbf{R} \mid x^2 = 0\} \neq \{0\}$ , where  $\mathbf{R}$  are the **smooth reals**.
- Necessitates constructive logic. Models of SDG are certain topoi.
- Limit arguments impossible ( $\mathbf{R}$  not Hausdorff). In particular  $\{0\} \subsetneq \mathbf{R}_{[0,0]} \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}} \mathbf{R}_{(-1/n, 1/n)}$ .
- But: Closer to the usual intuition (especially physics).
- Definitions and proofs can simplify significantly. E.g. the tangent bundle of  $X$  is given as  $X^D$ ; the tangent bundle projection is  $ev_0$ .
- Theorems in SDG imply analogous theorems in classical analysis (usually in more general version).

# Some axioms in SDG

**Kock-Lawvere axiom:** For every map  $f: D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  there exist unique  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $f(x) = a \cdot x + b$  for all  $x \in D$ .

- Allows defining derivatives. In particular, every real-valued map, defined on any interval, has a derivative (“all maps are smooth”).

# Some axioms in SDG

**Kock-Lawvere axiom:** For every map  $f: D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  there exist unique  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $f(x) = a \cdot x + b$  for all  $x \in D$ .

- Allows defining derivatives. In particular, every real-valued map, defined on any interval, has a derivative (“all maps are smooth”).

**Constancy principle:** For any interval  $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  and  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , if the derivative  $f'$  is constantly 0, then  $f$  is constant.

# Some axioms in SDG

**Kock-Lawvere axiom:** For every map  $f: D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  there exist unique  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $f(x) = a \cdot x + b$  for all  $x \in D$ .

- Allows defining derivatives. In particular, every real-valued map, defined on any interval, has a derivative (“all maps are smooth”).

**Constancy principle:** For any interval  $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  and  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , if the derivative  $f'$  is constantly 0, then  $f$  is constant.

**Integration axiom:** For every map  $f: \mathbf{R}_{[0,1]} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  there exists a unique map  $g: \mathbf{R}_{[0,1]} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $g' = f$  and  $g(0) = 0$ .

- Allows integration. In particular, every real-valued map, defined on any interval, has a primitive function (“all maps are integrable”).
- Implies the Constancy principle.

# Some axioms in SDG

**Kock-Lawvere axiom:** For every map  $f: D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  there exist unique  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $f(x) = a \cdot x + b$  for all  $x \in D$ .

- Allows defining derivatives. In particular, every real-valued map, defined on any interval, has a derivative (“all maps are smooth”).

**Constancy principle:** For any interval  $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  and  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , if the derivative  $f'$  is constantly 0, then  $f$  is constant.

**Integration axiom:** For every map  $f: \mathbf{R}_{[0,1]} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  there exists a unique map  $g: \mathbf{R}_{[0,1]} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $g' = f$  and  $g(0) = 0$ .

- Allows integration. In particular, every real-valued map, defined on any interval, has a primitive function (“all maps are integrable”).
- Implies the Constancy principle.

**Existence of certain functions:** There exist unique maps  $\exp, \cos, \sin: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  which solve the following IVPs respectively.

$$\exp' = \exp$$

$$\cos'' + \cos = 0$$

$$\sin'' + \sin = 0$$

$$\exp(0) = 1$$

$$\cos(0) = 1, \cos'(0) = 0$$

$$\sin(0) = 0, \sin'(0) = 1$$

# Talk

**Purpose:** We find the axiom allowing us to define **power series** in SDG and observe some of its consequences.

**Contents:**

- **Preparation**

We consider some classical properties of power series and make them suitable for constructive treatment.

- **Power series axiom**

We state the axiom and see how it works.

# Preparation

We work classically at first.

**Informal definition:** For  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  let  $A_\rho$  denote the set of all power series (with the center in 0) which converge on  $\mathbb{R}_{(-\rho,\rho)}$ .



# Preparation

We work classically at first.

**Informal definition:** For  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  let  $A_\rho$  denote the set of all power series (with the center in 0) which converge on  $\mathbb{R}_{(-\rho,\rho)}$ .

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  let  $r_\alpha$  denote the radius of convergence of  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_n x^n$ .

Hence  $A_\rho = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid r_\alpha \geq \rho\}$ .

# Preparation

We work classically at first.

**Informal definition:** For  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  let  $A_\rho$  denote the set of all power series (with the center in 0) which converge on  $\mathbb{R}_{(-\rho,\rho)}$ .

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  let  $r_\alpha$  denote the radius of convergence of  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_n x^n$ .

Hence  $A_\rho = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid r_\alpha \geq \rho\}$ .

**Cauchy-Hadamard theorem:**  $r_\alpha = \frac{1}{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|\alpha_n|}}$

# Preparation

We work classically at first.

**Informal definition:** For  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  let  $A_\rho$  denote the set of all power series (with the center in 0) which converge on  $\mathbb{R}_{(-\rho,\rho)}$ .

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  let  $r_\alpha$  denote the radius of convergence of  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_n x^n$ .

Hence  $A_\rho = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid r_\alpha \geq \rho\}$ .

**Cauchy-Hadamard theorem:**  $r_\alpha = \frac{1}{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|\alpha_n|}}$

**Formal definition:**

$A_\rho := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \forall q \in \mathbb{R}_{(0,\rho)} . \exists m \in \mathbb{N} . \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq m} . (-1 < \alpha_n q^n < 1)\}$

# Differential algebras

$A_\rho$  is a

- unital commutative algebra (for convolution),
- equipped with a unary operation (“derivative”)  $\alpha'_n := (n + 1) \cdot \alpha_{n+1}$  which is a linear map satisfying the **Leibniz rule**  
 $(\alpha \cdot \beta)' = \alpha' \cdot \beta + \alpha \cdot \beta'$ .

We say that  $A_\rho$  is a **differential algebra**.

# Differential algebras

$A_\rho$  is a

- unital commutative algebra (for convolution),
- equipped with a unary operation (“derivative”)  $\alpha'_n := (n + 1) \cdot \alpha_{n+1}$  which is a linear map satisfying the **Leibniz rule**  
 $(\alpha \cdot \beta)' = \alpha' \cdot \beta + \alpha \cdot \beta'$ .

We say that  $A_\rho$  is a **differential algebra**.

A unital algebra morphism  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is called a **diff. algebra morphism** when it additionally preserves derivation:  $f(a') = f(a)'$  for all  $a \in A$ .

# Differential algebras

$A_\rho$  is a

- unital commutative algebra (for convolution),
- equipped with a unary operation (“derivative”)  $\alpha'_n := (n + 1) \cdot \alpha_{n+1}$  which is a linear map satisfying the **Leibniz rule**  
 $(\alpha \cdot \beta)' = \alpha' \cdot \beta + \alpha \cdot \beta'$ .

We say that  $A_\rho$  is a **differential algebra**.

A unital algebra morphism  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is called a **diff. algebra morphism** when it additionally preserves derivation:  $f(a') = f(a)'$  for all  $a \in A$ .

**Example:** The map  $\iota_\rho: A_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{(-\rho, \rho)})$ , given by

$$\iota_\rho(\alpha) := x \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_n x^n,$$

is an (injective) differential algebra morphism.

**Idea:** Characterize  $\iota_\rho$  as a certain differential algebra morphism.

$A_\rho$  and  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{(-\rho,\rho)})$  can be equipped with natural choices of topologies. It turns out that  $\iota_\rho$  is continuous.

**Theorem:** For every  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  there exists a unique continuous differential algebra morphism  $F: A_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{(-\rho,\rho)})$  with the property

$$F(\alpha)(v \cdot x) = F(n \mapsto \alpha_n v^n)(x)$$

for all  $\alpha \in A_\rho$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_{(-\rho,\rho)}$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}_{[0,1]}$  (namely  $\iota_\rho$ ).

View  $\mathbb{R}$  as a preorder category, with an arrow  $\rho \rightarrow \sigma$  given for all  $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\rho \geq \sigma$ .

- $A_{\square}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{(-\square, \square)})$  are functors from  $\mathbb{R}$  to the category of differential algebras, where
- $\rho \rightarrow \sigma$  gets mapped
  - by  $A_{\square}$  to the inclusion  $A_{\rho} \hookrightarrow A_{\sigma}$ , and
  - by  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{(-\square, \square)})$  to the restriction mapping  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{(-\rho, \rho)}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{(-\sigma, \sigma)})$ ,  $f \mapsto f|_{\mathbb{R}_{(-\sigma, \sigma)}}$ .
- Furthermore,  $\iota$  is a natural transformation between these functors.

We get a “ladder with uncountably many rungs”:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \longrightarrow & A_{\rho} & \longrightarrow & A_{\sigma} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
 & & \downarrow \iota_{\rho} & & \downarrow \iota_{\sigma} & & \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{(-\rho, \rho)}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{(-\sigma, \sigma)}) & \longrightarrow & \dots
 \end{array}$$



Observe that this ladder has a limit and a colimit:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 A_\infty & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & A_\rho & \longrightarrow & A_\sigma & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & A_0 \\
 \iota_\infty \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \iota_\rho & & \downarrow \iota_\sigma & & & & \downarrow \iota_0 \\
 \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{(-\rho,\rho)}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{(-\sigma,\sigma)}) & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(0)
 \end{array}$$

- $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(0)$  denotes the set of **germs** of smooth functions around 0.
- $A_\infty = \bigcap_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}} A_\rho$  represents the set of **entire analytic functions**.
- $A_0 = \bigcup_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}} A_\rho$  is the set of power series with positive radii of convergence.

Furthermore, for all  $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  define

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\sigma\rho} : A_\rho &\rightarrow A_\sigma & \psi_{\sigma\rho} : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{(-\rho,\rho)}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{(-\sigma,\sigma)}) \\ \phi_{\sigma\rho}(\alpha) &:= n \mapsto \alpha_n \cdot \left(\frac{\rho}{\sigma}\right)^n & \psi_{\sigma\rho}(f) &:= x \mapsto f\left(\frac{\rho}{\sigma} \cdot x\right) \end{aligned}$$

These are unital algebra morphisms, but not differential algebra morphisms.

They satisfy the **cocycle** conditions:

$$\phi_{\rho\rho} = \text{Id}_{A_\rho}, \quad \phi_{\rho\sigma} = \phi_{\sigma\rho}^{-1}, \quad \phi_{\tau\sigma} \circ \phi_{\sigma\rho} = \phi_{\tau\rho},$$

and similarly for  $\psi$ .

This diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_\rho & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\sigma\rho}} & A_\sigma \\ \downarrow \iota_\rho & & \downarrow \iota_\sigma \\ \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{(-\rho,\rho)}) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{\sigma\rho}} & \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{(-\sigma,\sigma)}) \end{array}$$

Hence  $\iota_\rho = \phi_{\rho\sigma} \circ \iota_\sigma \circ \psi_{\sigma\rho}$ .

# Power series axiom

We now work in a synthetic setting (assuming of course the Kock-Lawvere axiom).  
We additionally postulate the Constancy principle.

The definition

$A_\rho := \{ \alpha \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \forall q \in \mathbf{R}_{(0,\rho)} . \exists m \in \mathbb{N} . \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq m} . (-1 < \alpha_n q^n < 1) \}$   
still makes sense.

# Power series axiom

We now work in a synthetic setting (assuming of course the Kock-Lawvere axiom). We additionally postulate the Constancy principle.

The definition

$A_\rho := \{ \alpha \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \forall q \in \mathbf{R}_{(0,\rho)} . \exists m \in \mathbb{N} . \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq m} . (-1 < \alpha_n q^n < 1) \}$  still makes sense.

In view of the preceding preparation we propose the following axiom.

**Axiom:** There is a differential algebra morphism  $\iota_1: A_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{R}_{(-1,1)}}$ , for which

$$\iota_1(\alpha)(v \cdot x) = \iota_1(n \mapsto \alpha_n v^n)(x)$$

for all  $\alpha \in A_1$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}_{(-1,1)}$  and  $v \in \mathbf{R}_{[0,1]}$ .

## Some observation

- “Taylor polynomials”:  $\iota_1(\alpha)(x) = \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{<n}} \alpha_k x^k \right) + x^n \cdot \iota_1(S^n \alpha)(x)$   
(where  $S$  is the shift map)
- $(\iota_1(\alpha))^{(n)}(0) = n! \cdot \alpha_n \quad (\Rightarrow \iota_1 \text{ is injective})$
- all  $x \in \mathbf{R}_{(0,\infty)}$  are invertible (use the trick with Neumann series)

We can thus define for  $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbf{R}_{(0, \infty)}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\sigma\rho}: A_\rho &\rightarrow A_\sigma & \psi_{\sigma\rho}: \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{R}(-\rho, \rho)} &\rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{R}(-\sigma, \sigma)} \\ \phi_{\sigma\rho}(\alpha) &:= n \mapsto \alpha_n \cdot \left(\frac{\rho}{\sigma}\right)^n & \psi_{\sigma\rho}(f) &:= x \mapsto f\left(\frac{\rho}{\sigma} \cdot x\right) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\iota_\rho: A_\rho \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{R}(-\rho, \rho)}$  be given by  $\iota_\rho := \psi_{\rho 1} \circ \iota_1 \circ \phi_{1\rho}$ .

We again obtain the ladder:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A_\infty & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & A_\rho & \longrightarrow & A_\sigma & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & A_0 \\ \iota_\infty \downarrow & & & & \iota_\rho \downarrow & & \iota_\sigma \downarrow & & & & \iota_0 \downarrow \\ \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{R}} & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{R}(-\rho, \rho)} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{R}(-\sigma, \sigma)} & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(0) \end{array}$$

# Examples

Note that  $(n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!}) \in A_\rho$  for all  $\rho \in \mathbf{R}_{(0,\infty)}$ . Define  $\boxed{\exp := \iota_\infty(n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!})}$ .

# Examples

Note that  $(n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!}) \in A_\rho$  for all  $\rho \in \mathbf{R}_{(0,\infty)}$ . Define  $\boxed{\text{exp} := \iota_\infty(n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!})}$ .

- We have  $\iota_\infty(n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!})' = \iota_\infty((n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!})') = \iota_\infty(n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!})$  and  $\iota_\infty(n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!})(0) = \frac{1}{0!} = 1$ . Thus exp solves the IVP  $y' = y$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ .



# Examples

Note that  $(n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!}) \in A_\rho$  for all  $\rho \in \mathbf{R}_{(0,\infty)}$ . Define  $\boxed{\text{exp} := \iota_\infty(n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!})}$ .

- We have  $\iota_\infty(n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!})' = \iota_\infty((n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!})') = \iota_\infty(n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!})$  and  $\iota_\infty(n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!})(0) = \frac{1}{0!} = 1$ . Thus  $\text{exp}$  solves the IVP  $y' = y$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ .
- Let  $f, g: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be any solutions of this IVP. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(f(x) \cdot g(-x))' &= f'(x) \cdot g(-x) - f(x) \cdot g'(-x) = \\ &= f(x) \cdot g(-x) - f(x) \cdot g(-x) = 0\end{aligned}$$

and  $f(0) \cdot g(-0) = 1$ , so by Constancy principle  $f(x) \cdot g(-x) \equiv 1$ .  
In particular (for  $f = g$ ) we have  $g(-x) = \frac{1}{g(x)}$ , so  $f(x) \equiv g(x)$ .

# Examples

Note that  $(n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!}) \in A_\rho$  for all  $\rho \in \mathbf{R}_{(0,\infty)}$ . Define  $\boxed{\text{exp} := \iota_\infty(n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!})}$ .

- We have  $\iota_\infty(n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!})' = \iota_\infty((n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!})') = \iota_\infty(n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!})$  and  $\iota_\infty(n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!})(0) = \frac{1}{0!} = 1$ . Thus exp solves the IVP  $y' = y$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ .
- Let  $f, g: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be any solutions of this IVP. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (f(x) \cdot g(-x))' &= f'(x) \cdot g(-x) - f(x) \cdot g'(-x) = \\ &= f(x) \cdot g(-x) - f(x) \cdot g(-x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and  $f(0) \cdot g(-0) = 1$ , so by Constancy principle  $f(x) \cdot g(-x) \equiv 1$ .  
In particular (for  $f = g$ ) we have  $g(-x) = \frac{1}{g(x)}$ , so  $f(x) \equiv g(x)$ .

In conclusion, exp is the unique solution to the above IVP. Similarly we get existence and uniqueness of cos and sin.

# Power series of several variables

Denote by  $^+\geq$  the following relation between tuples  $t = (t_0, \dots, t_{L-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^L$  and natural numbers  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$t \text{ } ^+\geq m := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{<L}} t_k \geq m.$$

For  $\rho = (\rho_0, \dots, \rho_{L-1}) \in \mathbf{R}_{(0,\infty)}^L$  generalize  $A_\rho :=$

$$\left\{ \alpha \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathbb{N}^L} \mid \forall q \in \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{<L}} \mathbf{R}_{(0,\rho_k)} \cdot \exists m \in \mathbb{N} \cdot \forall t \in \mathbb{N}_{^+\geq m}^L \cdot (-1 < \alpha_t \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{<L}} q_k^{t_k} < 1) \right\}.$$

Inductively on  $L$  define  $\iota_\rho: A_\rho \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{<L}} \mathbf{R}_{(-\rho_k, \rho_k)}}$  as follows:

$$\iota_{\rho, \rho_L}(\alpha) := (x, x_L) \mapsto \iota_{\rho_L} \left( n \mapsto \iota_\rho(\alpha_{\square, n})(x) \right) (x_L).$$

We obtain a “multidimensional ladder”. By taking (co)limits we can extend the definitions of  $A_\rho$  and  $\iota_\rho$  to  $\rho \in (\mathbf{R}_{(0,\infty)} \cup \{0, \infty\})^L$ .

Expected formulae hold, such as:

**Proposition:**  $\iota_\rho(\alpha)(x) \cdot \iota_\sigma(\beta)(y) = \iota_{\rho,\sigma}\left((m, n) \mapsto \alpha_m \cdot \beta_n\right)(x, y)$

**Proposition:**  $\iota_\infty(\alpha)(x + y) = \iota_{\infty,\infty}\left((m, n) \mapsto \binom{m+n}{m} \alpha_{m+n}\right)(x, y)$

**Corollary:**  $\exp(x) \cdot \exp(y) = \exp(x + y)$

Also, by using translations, we can evaluate power series around points other than 0.

## Concluding remarks

- The proposed axiom allows us to define and work (as classically) with general power series in SDG.
- It implies some other axioms ( $\mathbf{R}$  a field, existence of certain elementary functions, the integration axiom for analytical functions).
- **To do:** Which models of SDG satisfy the axiom?
- **Question:** Is there a single general SDG axiom, that would replace all classical limit arguments?